UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,284	04/05/2005	Martin Morters	268418US0X PCT	4672
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, JENNIFER A	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/530,284	MORTERS ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JENNIFER A. SMITH	1793			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>JENNIFER A. SMITH</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>STEFAN KOSCHMIEDER</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 7 January 2008	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of claims 24 and 25 under the Mangold reference					
Claims discussed: Claims 24 and 25 and independent claim 1					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Telephone interview was made to Applicant's attorney to indicate allowable subject matter with regard to claims 24 and 25. Applicants will be contacted with regard to the proposed Examiner's amendment to make the invention allowable. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/J.A. LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793					
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			